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Experimental and Simulation studies on Nitrogen Dynamics in Unsaturated and Saturated Soil using HYDRUS-2D
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Abstract

Effective irrigation practices have become an optimal means of providing water and nutrients to crops as well as preventing the vulnerability of ground water contamination. This could be achieved by understanding the fate and transport processes of nitrogen compounds in the subsurface. However, nitrogen dynamics in the plant rhizosphere is very complex, which depends on many factors such as soil temperature, pH, water content, soil microbes, soil type and plant characteristics and cannot be easily quantified. Using state-of-the-art modelling techniques, an attempt was made to evaluate the reactive transport of ammonium nitrogen under continuous and alternate wetting and drying mode (AWD) of irrigation in soil columns using a HYDRUS 2D model. The model quantifies the soil sorption, microbial transformations such as nitrification and denitrification, leaching, and final release to aquifer for ammonium and nitrate input fluxes. This quantification helped in designing an optimal fertigation and irrigation schedule. Soil column study was done with variable saturation and in a combined unsaturated (45 cm) and saturated (5 cm) representing vadose and aquifer. Drip irrigation with wastewater containing 100 mg/L of ammonium and 500 mg/L of organic carbon (acetate) was applied based on the recommended total quantity of nutrients in continuous and pulse modes to the column. The soil parameters, initial and boundary conditions used in the model were obtained through experimental studies. The HYDRUS-2D model was developed, calibrated and validated with experimental results. The model performed could predict well the experimental data. Under continuous irrigation, nitrification (0.23/d) was the predominant process whereas both nitrification and denitrification occurs simultaneously in AWD with the overall nitrate removal efficiency of 60%. Consequently, the scenario prediction using this model for optimal fertigation schedule was done for groundnut crop. Further this model could be extended for various scenario predictions for designing optimal irrigation-fertigation schedules for sustainable agricultural practices.
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1. Introduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nomenclature</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>pressure head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>hydraulic conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_s ), ( \theta_r )</td>
<td>Volumetric water content, saturated and residual water content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>Darcy flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Dispersion coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_e )</td>
<td>Saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Q_{nm} )</td>
<td>Plant uptake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Phi_1 ), ( \Phi_2 )</td>
<td>First order nitrification and denitrification constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha ), ( \beta ), ( \eta )</td>
<td>Fitting parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_b )</td>
<td>Bulk density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau )</td>
<td>Tortuosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>Vertical coordinate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Over the decades, the intensive use of agriculture practices consumes tons of agrochemicals to increase crop productivity. This probably leads to diffusion and leaching of excess chemicals creating various environmental pollution, the significant being groundwater contamination. [1]. Excessive nitrogen containing wastewater irrigation, over fertigation and build up of soil residuals leads to severe nitrate contamination. Numerous ways as numerical modelling and experimental studies are present to estimate irrigation losses, leaching potential by different irrigation methods (drip, subtape, furrow, and sprinkler), crop and soil types. [2,3]. Efficient irrigation with proper fertilizer application to deliver water and nutrients to plants involves a scientific planning based on crop needs, soil conditions, and hydro geological conditions. Hence a holistic approach for water dynamics and scientific understanding of nitrogen compounds in soil and groundwater is essential for design operation and planning of scheduling of irrigation and fertigation[4].

Most widely adopted technology was alternate wetting and drying irrigation rather than continuous flooded irrigation because it not only reduces water and fertilizer load to soil and plants but prevents excess leaching and groundwater contamination [5]. Quantifying water and nitrogen losses in plant root zone is complexes due to uncertainties in estimating the actual water content and solute movement even under controlled environment such as lysimeter studies and column experiments [6]. In addition, field experiments will provide a realistic data but there would not be any control in the experimentation, also it is laborious, time consuming and tracking of water drainage flux and solute concentrations will be uncertain. Hence, computer simulations became a valuable tool for understanding the complex nitrogen dynamics and their interaction with soil, crops and the role of water content, soil microbes and soil conditions affecting their transport. Also, assessment of management options for better cropping and safeguarding the environment based on scenario analysis through models will make the work easier [7,8,9].

Several models were present in literature simulate flow and transport processes, nutrient uptake and biological transformations of nutrients in the soil [10] numerical models showing the effect of temperature and dissolved oxygen, water and N dynamics in paddy fields [11], SWMS [12], HYDRUS [13,14,15] HYDRUS 2D/3D [6] has been used extensively for evaluating the effects of soil hydraulic properties, soil layering, dripper discharge rates, irrigation frequency and quality, timing of nutrient applications on wetting patterns and solute distribution [e.g.,16,17,18,] because it has the capability to analyze water flow and nutrient transport in multiple spatial dimensions [19]. Most of models obtain their input data from field studies which were not representative due to uncertainties in sampling, climatic conditions, environment etc. Hence, this study was taken up to develop a model which was calibrated and validated by experimental results which helps in better understanding and predictions. The objective the present study was to develop a model for the transport of nitrogen compounds and simulate water fluxes in an unsaturated and unconfined aquifer system under continuous and alternate wetting and drying irrigation for the scientific understanding and investigate the critical factors.
Table 1. Soil hydraulic properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Parameter</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density</td>
<td>1564.03 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic conductivity</td>
<td>7.75 \times 10^{-3} cm/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vangenuchten parameters</td>
<td>Θ₀ - 0.055, Θᵣ - 0.37, α - 0.124, n - 1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Experimental Set up

The study was conducted in a soil column of 70 cm height and 5 cm internal diameter. The column was packed for a depth of 50 cm with sandy loam soil taken from wastewater irrigated plots of IIT Madras, Tamilnadu. The soil characteristics were shown in Table 1. The soil column was irrigated from the small inlet tank with 10-12 needles arrangement to evenly distribute the feed solution on soil surface. Two sets of column studies were done. One with variable saturation denoted as C1 and another with a combined unsaturated and saturated system denoted as C2. The wastewater was fed at a rate of 7 cm/d for C1 and 22 cm/d for C2 studies using syringe pump. There were three sampling ports located at 10 cm, 20 cm and 40 cm soil depth. The bottom has one more port which was connected to a hanging U tube column. This arrangement was done only in C2 column to maintain saturated conditions for 5 cm soil depth at the bottom. Leachate samples were collected in a conical flask connected to a vacuum pump. There were additional two ports for inserting soil moisture probes (Soil sensor, USA) one at top (15 cm) and another at bottom (30 cm) for soil water content measurement during the studies.

2.2 Wastewater irrigation and Analysis

Before irrigating the soil column, the soil was mixed with nitrifying and denitrifying bacterial culture developed from soil [20]. The initial biomass was calculated based on plate count method. Initially the soil column was fully saturated and the excess soil water was removed by draining. In C2 experiment, a 5 cm saturated soil depth was maintained by hanging water column at the bottom of column. Wastewater containing 100 mg/L of ammonium and 500 mg/L of acetate was applied at a constantly for C1 column studies for 20 days and by split irrigation for C2 column studies for 10 days. Spatial and temporal leachate samples were collected and analyzed for ammonium, nitrate and acetate. The soil water content measurements were also made while sampling. The ammonium were analyzed by ion chromatography (IC3500 model, Thermofisher USA) using CS16 column, with 40 mM Methane sulfonic acid as eluent and nitrate, acetate by AS18 column with 35 mM Sodium hydroxide as eluent at 1ml/min.

2.3 Coupled water and solute transport simulations by HYDRUS2D

The mathematical model for predicting water flow and the transport of nitrogen species in unsaturated subsurface system is described in this section to simulate the nitrogen species transport with varying irrigation and moisture conditions. Vertical movement of water in soil under one-dimensional unsaturated condition can be described by Richard’s model as expressed in Eqn. (1) [21]

\[ C(h) \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} K \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \right) - \frac{\partial K}{\partial z} \]  

(1)

where \( C(h) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial h} \) is specific moisture capacity (1/L); \( h \) is the pressure head (L); \( K \) is the unsaturated hydraulic conductivity (L/T); \( t \) is the time (T); \( z \) is the vertical coordinate (L) positive downward.

To solve the Richard’s equation the following constitutive relationships are required. Such relations were proposed by Van Genuchten (1980) as given in Eqns. (2) – (4):
\[ S_e = \frac{\theta_w - \theta_r}{\theta_s - \theta_r} \]  

(2)

\[ \theta_w = \theta_r + \left[ \frac{\theta_s - \theta_r}{1 + (\alpha|h|)^\beta} \right]^\eta \]  

(3)

\[ K(h) = K_s S_e^{1/2} \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - S_e^{1/\eta} \right)^\eta \right]^2 \]  

(4)

where: \( \theta_w \) is the water content (L/L^3); \( S_e \) is the effective saturation; \( \theta_s \) is the saturated water content; \( \theta_r \) is the residual water content; \( K_s \) is the saturated hydraulic conductivity (L/T); \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \eta \) are fitting parameters.

The chemical and biological reactions of nitrogen transformation in the soil when wastewater is applied are nitrification, denitrification, uptake of ammonium and nitrate by plants, and adsorption of ammonium on the soil cation exchange sites [22]. To simplify the model formulation, this section considered only ammonium nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen as the main nitrogen species along with adsorption process and nitrification along with denitrification are the key reactions in the nitrogen cycle.

The one-dimensional vertical mass transport and transformations of ammonium nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen under transient flow and variably saturated soil conditions are described in the Eqns. (5) and (6):

\[ \theta_w \frac{\partial N_{H_4}}{\partial t} + \rho_b \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \theta_w D \frac{\partial N_{H_4}}{\partial z} \right) - q \frac{\partial N_{H_4}}{\partial z} + Q_{am} - \Phi_1 \]  

(5)

\[ \theta_w \frac{\partial N_{NO_3}}{\partial t} + \rho_b \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \theta_w D \frac{\partial N_{NO_3}}{\partial z} \right) - q \frac{\partial N_{NO_3}}{\partial z} + Q_{ni} - \Phi_2 \]  

(6)

where \( N_{H_4} \) is the concentration of ammonium nitrogen; \( N_{NO_3} \) is the concentration of nitrate nitrogen; \( D = D_m + q*\alpha \), \( D \) is the dispersion coefficient; \( D_m \) is the molecular diffusion coefficient; \( \tau \) is the tortuosity; \( q \) is the Darcy velocity; \( \alpha \) is the longitudinal dispersivity; \( \rho_b \) is the bulk density of soil; \( S (S = K_d \cdot N_{H_4}/N_{NO_3}) \) is the amount of \( N_{H_4} \) or \( N_{NO_3} \) in the adsorbed phase per unit mass of soil; \( K_d \) is the linear partitioning coefficient of ammonium nitrogen, \( \Phi_1 \) is the rate of \( N_{H_4} \) transformation per unit soil volume; \( \Phi_2 \) is the rate of \( N_{NO_3} \) transformation per unit soil volume; \( Q_{am} \) is the rate of plant uptake of \( N_{H_4} \) per unit soil volume and \( Q_{ni} \) is the rate of plant uptake of \( N_{NO_3} \) per unit soil volume. The plant uptake process is not considered in this study.

The transformation terms \( \Phi_1 \) and \( \Phi_2 \) describe the nitrification of \( N_{H_4} \) and denitrification of \( N_{NO_3} \) which are approximated by first-order kinetic type reactions which are given in Eqns. (7) and (8) [21]:

\[ \Phi_1 = -K_1 \theta_w (N_{H_4} - N) \]  

(7)

\[ \Phi_2 = K_1 \theta_w (N_{H_4} - N) - K_2 \theta (N_{NO_3} - N) \]  

(8)

where \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) are the nitrification and denitrification rates.

### 2.3.1 Initial and Boundary conditions

The schematic diagram of soil domain with initial and boundary conditions was shown in Fig.1. Initial soil water content of the top layer was 0.201. The upper boundary condition constant flux boundary for C1 column and time variable boundary condition with constant flux for C2 study. The bottom boundary was free drainage for C1 and constant pressure head boundary condition, reflecting the position of the groundwater table [11] for C2 study. Duration of wastewater irrigation was 8 h per day at an interval of 24 h. This condition simulates the wetting and drying cycle of AWD irrigation. For continuous irrigation study (C1), the wastewater containing ammonium and acetate were fed continuously for 24 h. The solute was set to third type condition.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Moisture variations

Water contents were measured on daily basis at 15 cm and 30 cm soil depth and were simulated by HYDRUS 2D as shown in Fig.2. The measured water content at top depth showed fluctuations upto 8 days and bottom depth remained similar to simulations after 4 days. However, both followed a similar profile. The average water content was 0.2 cm$^3$ cm$^{-3}$ which was favourable for root zone of crops. However, the simulated water contents were lower than the measured during the initial phase but matched well at both depths during the later phase. These higher variations were due to soil evaporation, preferential flow, assumption of flux boundary which may be different from transient conditions. Similar trend was observed by [20] for assessing the salinity and nitrate for citrus plantations and other studies [21,16,22]. In case of alternative wetting and drying cycles of irrigation for C2 column with a combined saturated and unsaturated system, the model was able to simulate the water saturations profile moderately (the data not shown here).

3.2 Nitrogen distribution dynamics

Comparison between daily measured and simulated concentrations of ammonium and nitrate were shown in Fig.3 (a) and (b) at 15 cm, 30 cm and 50 cm soil depths. For ammonium, the model was over predicting at 15 cm soil depth where as the other two depths were well matching except for some variation due to dispersion was observed at 50 cm depth for experimental values.
In case of nitrate breakthrough curve, the model showed a good correspondence with experiments with only slight variations observed at 15 cm soil depth. The model was under estimating the nitrate concentrations at x=15 cm and 30 cm. A similar match of nitrate distributions has been reported in other studies as well [20, 22, 21]. The reason behind such variations may be variations in heterogeneity of soil packing, anisotropy, nature of sampling method which gives as representative of an area, preferential flow due to soil properties, variation in water content, which in turn affecting the dispersion. The model considers the only the nitrification, denitrification, sorption reactions in soil, whereas mineralization, immobilization through carbon–nitrogen complex formation and microbial interaction, inhibition due to oxygen, substrate and other byproducts formation were not taken into account. This could be done by using advance modeling by Wetland modules (CW2D and CWM modules) of HYDRUS 2D software. There were other several factors as reported by [22] influencing the correspondence between measurements and simulations of water contents and solute concentrations in the soil.

Coming to the depth wise profile as shown in Fig.3(d), the ammonium concentration reached a maximum concentration of 78 mg/L at top and least (51 mg/L) at bottom depth. This shows that, there was gradation along depth wise occurring due to sorption and nitrification occurring along the length of the column. The reduction in concentration was mainly due to nitrification reaction which needs a continuous supply of oxygen. This was provided by top atmospheric boundary. The estimated nitrification coefficient was 0.23/d [22] based on model simulations. Since there was a continuous irrigation, the ammonium leaching was around 50 mg/L after 4 days. This amount will get reduced if alternate or split irrigation is practiced. The overall removal rate by nitrification and sorption was 50%. Nitrate formation and leaching occurred around 3 days. The nitrate formed migrates to bottom of column where anoxic conditions were prevails favoring denitrification accounting to 0.023/d.

In case of AWD irrigation in an unconfined aquifer system, as shown in Fig 4 (a) and (b) the model moderately simulated the flow through the column but could not estimate the nitrification rates. The model was moderately estimating the ammonium concentrations but under predicting the nitrate ions. Since nitrification and
The effects of drip system on unsaturated columns and unconfined aquifer were simulated using a transport model (HYDRUS-2D). The following conclusions were drawn from the present study:

- The HYDRUS-2D model performed reasonably well in predicting soil water content and nitrogen dynamics. The nitrate movement greatly dependent on hydraulic loading and moisture profile. In continuous irrigation with wastewater, nitrogen losses were accounted to be 50% than split method. Also, the soil uptake efficiency decreases with continuous application of wastewater.
- In an unconfined aquifer system, with alternate wetting and drying enhanced the nitrification and denitrification processes in root zone where variable, as oxidation-reduction environment alternated. The analysis of the nitrogen balance shows that on average, about 40% of total is removed from the soil profile by nitrification, 3% by sorption, 23% (at 50 cm) leaches to groundwater, and about 30.2% is lost due to denitrification. A further improvement of models to be done by considering the plant component, all bio-geo chemical reactions.
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